1	MICHAEL J. HOGAN, #66208		
2	PAUL J. BAUER, #202752 DOWLING, AARON & KEELER, INC.		
3	8080 North Palm Avenue, Third Floor Fresno, CA 93711		
4	Telephone: (559) 432-4500 Facsimile: (559) 432-4590		
5	E-mail: pbauer@daklaw.com  Attorneys for Defendants INTERNATIONAL PAPER COMPANY		
6			
7	AND xpedx		
8	MICHAEL C. COHEN, ESQ., Bar No. 65487		
9	LAW OFFICES OF MICHAEL C. COHEN 1814 Franklin Street, Suite 900		
10	Oakland, CA 94612 Telephone: (510) 832-6436		
11	E-mail: mcohen@cohenlegalfirm.com		
12	Attorneys for Plaintiff LAKESHA WARE		
13	A D AMED D. COT A TIPE C.	DIGTRIGT GOLDT	
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
15	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
16	A AMEGNA WARE	G N G 00 01105 WDD	
17	LAKESHA WARE,	Case No. C 08-01187 WDB	
18	Plaintiff,	JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE STATEMENT	
19	V.	A -4: F:1- J	
20	INTERNATIONAL PAPER CO., aka INTERNATIONAL PAPER COMPANY, AKA INTERNATIONAL PAPER, AKA XPEDX,	Action Filed: January 11, 2008	
21	XPEDX, a division of INTERNATIONAL PAPER, XPEDX, Does 1 to 10 Inclusive,		
22	Defendants.		
23			
24	In accordance with the Court's	s Standing Order re Contents of Joint Case	
25	Management Statement, counsel for the parties su	bmit the following case management statement.	
26	1. <u>Jurisdiction and Service</u> : T	his Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this	
27	case as Plaintiff LAKESHA WARE alleges disc	erimination in violation of Title VII of the Civil	
28	Rights Act of 1964.		
		1	

8

6

11

13

12

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25

26

27 28

2 Defendants' Statement of Facts: Plaintiff worked in the shipping department of Defendant xpedx's Oakland, California store. In January, 2007, Plaintiff allegedly suffered an injury to her back while on the job. Plaintiff filed for, and received, workers' compensation benefits. Plaintiff did not miss any work due to her injury. She was placed on modified duty as a cashier in order to accommodate her work restrictions.

In March, 2007, Defendant xpedx announced that it was closing its Oakland store and opening a smaller store in Berkeley, California. Employees of the Oakland store, including Plaintiff, were offered jobs at the Berkeley store. The Oakland employees were offered the same transfer opportunity as Plaintiff, some of whom had open workers' compensation claims. Plaintiff did not accept the offer to transfer to the Berkeley store because she wanted to set her own work hours at the Berkeley location, to which Defendant could not agree. Since she was refusing the transfer, Plaintiff was offered a termination agreement and severance, but she declined, and was terminated because the Oakland store was closed.

Defendant denies Plaintiff's allegations and contends Plaintiff's employment ended based on a legitimate business reason.

<u>Plaintiff's Statement of Facts</u>: Plaintiff an African American female was employed by Defendant, Xpedx in Oakland, California. Plaintiff sustained an injury to her back. She was able to perform the essential duties of her job, with or without a reasonable accommodation. Plaintiff was performing her job in a satisfactory manner. In or about March 2007, defendant Xpedx unlawfully terminated plaintiff's employment. Defendant hired a white male to perform plaintiff's job. Plaintiff was more qualified to perform the job than the white male that defendant hired to replace her.

Defendant offered plaintiff's co-workers the opportunity to work at a store that defendant opened in Berkeley, but defendant did not offer plaintiff the opportunity to work at the store in Berkeley.

Plaintiff contends that defendant in terminating her employment and failing to offer her the opportunity to work in the new store in Berkeley, discriminated against her because of her race, gender, disability, perceived disability and or medical condition and retaliated against for

1	filing a workers compensation complaint in violation of public policy and terminated her	
2	employment wrongfully in violation of public policy.	
3	3. <u>Legal Issues</u> :	
4	a. Was Plaintiff discriminated against on the basis of her race in	
5	violation of Government Code §§ 12920, 12921, and 12930 et seq.?	
6	b. Was Plaintiff discriminated against on the basis of her race in	
7	violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended?	
8	c. Was Plaintiff discriminated against on the basis of her sex?	
9	d. Was Plaintiff discriminated against on the basis of her alleged	
10	disability or perceived disability?	
11	e. Was Plaintiff wrongfully terminated in violation of public policy	
12	under Government Code §§ 12920 and 12921?	
13	f. Did a contract exist between Defendants and Plaintiff and if so, did	
14	Defendant breach the contract by terminating Plaintiff?	
15	g. Were Defendants' reasons for terminating Plaintiff legitimate, non-	
16	discriminatory reasons?	
17	h. Were Defendants' reasons for terminating Plaintiff pretextual?	
18	i. Has Plaintiff suffered damages?	
19	j. Did Plaintiff mitigate her alleged damages?	
20	4. <u>Motions</u> : There are currently no pending motions. Defendants anticipate	
21	filing a motion to dismiss and/or motion for summary judgment. Defendants will also seek to	
22	bifurcate damages.	
23	5. <u>Defendants' Amendment of Pleadings</u> : Defendants do not anticipate any	
24	amendment to the pleadings. The parties propose June 1, 2009, by which to add parties and/or	
25	amend the pleadings.	
26	Plaintiff's Amendment of Pleadings: Plaintiff may amend complaint to more	
27	clearly state claims and possibly add claims that are supported by the facts.	

28

1	6.	Evidence Preservation: Defendants have issued a preservation memo with
2	respect to all materials associated with this matter.	
3	7.	<u>Disclosures</u> : The parties shall exchange Rule 26(a)(1) Disclosures within 14
4	days after the parties'	' joint case management conference.
5	8.	<u>Discovery</u> : The parties jointly propose the following discovery plan.
6	Discovery will be nee	eded on the following subjects:
7		Plaintiff's claims in her Complaint, Defendants' defenses, potential damages
8	(if any), and Plaintiff's prior and current employment history. All non-expert discovery	
9	commenced in time to be completed by January 29, 2010.	
10	9.	<u>Class Action</u> : Not applicable.
11	10.	Related Cases: There are no related cases pending.
12	11.	Relief: Plaintiff's complaint states a claim for compensatory and special
13	damages; lost incom	ne; punitive and exemplary damages; attorneys fees and costs; prejudgment
14	interest.	
15	12.	Settlement and ADR: This case has been referred for court sponsored
16	mediation, which is s	scheduled to commence on August 28, 2008, before Arthur R. Siegel, Esq.
17	13.	Consent to Magistrate Judge For All Purposes: The parties do not consent to
18	refer this case to a Ma	agistrate Judge.
19	14.	
20		Other References: Not applicable.
	15.	Other References: Not applicable.  Narrowing of Issues: Defendant has not identified any issues that can be
21		
21 22		Narrowing of Issues: Defendant has not identified any issues that can be nent or by motion. Defendant does not request a bifurcation of any of the
	narrowed by agreem	Narrowing of Issues: Defendant has not identified any issues that can be nent or by motion. Defendant does not request a bifurcation of any of the
22	narrowed by agreem issues, claims or defe	Narrowing of Issues: Defendant has not identified any issues that can be sent or by motion. Defendant does not request a bifurcation of any of the enses.  Expedited Schedule: Defendant does not believe this case can be handled
22 23	narrowed by agreem issues, claims or defe	Narrowing of Issues: Defendant has not identified any issues that can be sent or by motion. Defendant does not request a bifurcation of any of the enses.  Expedited Schedule: Defendant does not believe this case can be handled
22 23 24	narrowed by agreem issues, claims or defe 16. on an expedited basis 17.	Narrowing of Issues: Defendant has not identified any issues that can be nent or by motion. Defendant does not request a bifurcation of any of the enses.  Expedited Schedule: Defendant does not believe this case can be handled as.
22 23 24 25	narrowed by agreem issues, claims or defe 16. on an expedited basis 17.	Narrowing of Issues: Defendant has not identified any issues that can be dent or by motion. Defendant does not request a bifurcation of any of the enses.  Expedited Schedule: Defendant does not believe this case can be handled as.  Scheduling: The parties propose the following schedule: mation of experts will be due from Plaintiff by December 1, 2009, and from

1	Reports from retained experts under Rule 26(a)(2) due from Plaintiff by December		
2	1, 2009, and from Defendants by December 15, 2009.		
3	Non-expert discovery will be completed by December 31, 2009.		
4	Expert discovery will be completed by January 29, 2010.		
5	All potentially dispositive motions will be filed by January 29, 2010.		
6	The final pretrial conference will be set for March 29, 2010. This case will be ready		
7	for trial by April 12, 2010.		
8	18. <u>Trial</u> : This case will be tried before a jury and it is expected to take sever		
9	to 10 days.		
10	19. <u>Disclosure of Non-party Interested Entities or Persons</u> : The parties have		
11	filed the Certification of Interested Parties.		
12	Pursuant to L.R. 3-16, Defendants certify that the following listed persons have a		
13	non-financial interest in that subject matter or in a party that could be substantially affected by th		
14	outcome of this proceeding:		
15	Officers:		
16	John V. Faraci – Chairman and CEO		
17	Newland A. Lesko – Executive Vice President John N. Balboni – Senior Vice President and CIO		
18	Michael J. Balduino – Senior Vice President, President Shorewood Packaging H. Wayne Brafford – Senior Vice President		
19	Jerome N. Carter – Senior Vice President C. Cato Ealy – Senior Vice President		
20	Thomas E. Gestrich – Senior Vice President, President International Paper Asia Thomas G. Kadien – Senior Vice President, President xpedx		
21	Mary A. Laschinger – Senior Vice President, President International Paper Europe		
22	Middle East, Africa and Russia Timothy S. Nicholls – Senior Vice President and CFO		
23	Maximo Pacheco – Senior Vice President, President International Paper do Brasil Carol Roberts – Senior Vice President		
24	Maura Abeln Smith – Senior Vice President and General Counsel, Corporate Secretary and Global Government Relations		
25	Mark S. Sutton – Senior Vice President W. Michael Amick, Jr. – Vice President xpedx		
	September G. Blain – Vice President		
26	Aleesa L. Blum – Vice President Paul Brown – Vice President		
27	Thomas A. Cleves – Vice President Dennis J. Colley – Vice President		
28	James A. Connelly – Vice President xpedx Kirt J. Cuevas – Vice President		
- 1			

1	Arthur J. Douville – Vice President xpedx
2	Michael P. Exner – Vice President Greg C. Gibson – Vice President
3	Robert J. Grillet – Vice President Errol A. Harris – Vice President
4	Jeffrey A. Hearn – Vice President do Brasil Peter G. Heist – Vice President
5	Terri L. Herrington – Vice President William Hoel – Vice President
6	Tommy S. Joseph – Vice President Paul J. Karre – Vice President
7	Timothy A. Kelly – Vice President Austin E. Lance – Vice President
8	Glenn R. Landau – Vice President David A. Liebetreau – Vice President
9	Richard B. Lowe – Vice President xpedx Kevin G. McWilliams – Vice President
10	William A. Merrigan – Vice President Ted R. Niederriter – Vice President and Deputy General Counsel
11	Jean-Michael Ribieras – Vice President John V. Sims – Vice President David B. Struhs – Vice President
12	Greg Wanta – Vice President
13	Thomas J. Weisenbach – Vice President xpedx Robert W. Wenker – Vice President and CTO
14	Ann B. Wrobleski – Vice President Paul Herbert – CEO Ilim Group
15	Brian N. McDonald – Deputy CEO and Managing Director Ilim East John W. Rankin - – Vice President Ilim Group
16	<u>Directors</u> :
17	John V. Faraci
18	David J. Bronczek Martha F. Brooks Lypp Leverty Elsephons
19	Lynn Laverty Elsenhans Samir G. Gibara
20	Donald f. McHenry John L. Townsend, III
21	John F. Turner
22	William G. Walter Alberto Weisser J. Steven Whisler
23	J. Steven whister
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT